

High Oak Community Meeting 12/2/06 Exit Survey Results

Survey Question	1 Strongly Disagree	2 Somewhat Disagree	3 Neutral	4 Somewhat Agree	5 Strongly Agree	No response
1. Meeting allowed participants to share their ideas for the redevelopment project.	2	1	1	7	11	1
2. The meeting facilitators/architects encouraged participants to share their ideas for the project.	1	2	1	10	8	1
3. The architects provided a reasonable likeness of the ideas presented by the participants.	0	0	7	8	6	2
4. The orientation to the charrette was clear and informative.	1	3	2	10	5	2
5. The City followed the meeting agenda and allowed for participants to share their views.	2	2	1	8	8	2
Other comments:						
1. I feel this entire project needs a breather.						
2. Hope it matters what we want.						
3. Need more time to discuss if it makes better sense to keep the land. What would be the advantages of selling part of the land back to HUD? Has the City approached this idea?						
4. The City should sell the land, pay back the government and stay out of building.						
5. I agree with the project and methods of letting the community express their opinions, good or bad, argumentative or not - get it all out. (rated Q1 - 2, Q5 -2.)						
6. Poorly run meeting by the City facilitators. Architects were good.						
7. It was obvious from the beginning of the meeting that competing agendas existed between the City and some of the citizen group. This should have/could have been diffused far more diplomatically so the rest of the audience could understand all of the real issues.						
8. I have questions concerning Cavalia Homes, LLC. Will talk with City officials.						
9. The community had to force questions on the presenter who was trying to deflect them.						
10. City staff was informative, however, "cliques" had own agenda who chose not to keep an open mind.						
11. City will not pay back \$2.8 million, so we need to develop best possible with 11 units dispersed.						
12. After the Cowboy stadium's eminent domain card was played, the City of Arlington needs to continue to rebuild trust. I understand the City voted. I voted, yet there could remain distrust of City management. Stadium may have been a costly "gain".						
Summary:						
50 residents attended the 12/2/06 High Oak Redevelopment community meeting 23 attendees (46%) submitted a meeting exit summary.						
The majority of the respondents (78%) indicated that they felt that they were able to share their opinions about the redevelopment of High Oak.						
The majority of the respondents (over 61%) indicated that the architects did a good job of representing their ideas on paper during the meeting.						